

Amendments to the Claims

1 Claim 1 (original): A method of recycling events to take advantage of capabilities of a
2 management system, comprising steps of:

3 receiving one or more events;

4 storing the received events in an event repository;

5 evaluating each stored event to determine if a new or different capability is available, and
6 programmatically appending the new or different capability to the stored event if so; and

7 subsequently programmatically invoking processing of the appended new or different
8 capabilities;

9 thereby recycling the stored events to take advantage of the capabilities of the
10 management system without requiring change to applications generating the stored events and
11 without requiring new events to be generated.

1 Claim 2 (original): The method according to Claim 1, wherein a flag indicates whether the
2 appended new or different capability for a selected stored event has been processed.

1 Claim 3 (original): The method according to Claim 1, further comprising the step of re-storing
2 the evaluated event and the programmatically appended new or different capability in the event
3 repository prior to operation of the subsequently programmatically invoking step.

1 Claim 4 (original): The method according to Claim 1, wherein selected ones of the appended
2 new or different capabilities comprise a name of an executable task and wherein the subsequently

Serial No. 09/849,848

-7-

Docket RSW920010087US1

3 programmatically invoking step comprises executing the task.

1 Claim 5 (original): The method according to Claim 1, wherein selected ones of the appended
2 new or different capabilities comprise a rule to be added to a rule base server and wherein the
3 subsequently programmatically invoking step comprises evaluating the rule by the rule base
4 server.

1 Claim 6 (original): The method according to Claim 1, wherein selected ones of the appended
2 new or different capabilities comprise a property name and value and wherein the subsequently
3 programmatically invoking step comprises determining if a rule associated with that property
4 name and value exists in a rule base and evaluating the rule if so.

1 Claim 7 (original): The method according to Claim 2, wherein the programmatically appending
2 step further comprises the step of resetting the flag to indicate that the new or different capability
3 for a selected event has not been processed.

1 Claim 8 (original): The method according to Claim 1, wherein a precondition for the
2 subsequently programmatically invoking step comprises determining whether an appended new
3 or different capability is present on a selected event, and determining that the appended new or
4 different capability has not already been performed.

1 Claim 9 (original): The method according to Claim 1, wherein the evaluated stored event has

2 been previously annotated to reflect one or more capabilities of the management system.

1 Claim 10 (original): The method according to Claim 9, wherein the programmatically appending
2 further comprises overwriting the previous annotation.

1 Claim 11 (original): A system for recycling events to take advantage of capabilities of a
2 management system, comprising:

3 means for receiving one or more events;

4 means for storing the received events in an event repository;

5 means for evaluating each stored event to determine if a new or different capability is
6 available, and programmatically appending the new or different capability to the stored event if
7 so; and

8 means for subsequently programmatically invoking processing of the appended new or
9 different capabilities;

10 thereby recycling the stored events to take advantage of the capabilities of the
11 management system without requiring change to applications generating the stored events and
12 without requiring new events to be generated.

1 Claim 12 (original): The system according to Claim 11, wherein a flag indicates whether the
2 appended new or different capability for a selected stored event has been processed.

1 Claim 13 (original): The system according to Claim 11, further comprising means for re-storing

Serial No. 09/849,848

-9-

Docket RSW920010087US1

2 the evaluated event and the programmatically appended new or different capability in the event
3 repository prior to operation of the means for subsequently programmatically invoking.

1 Claim 14 (original): The system according to Claim 12, wherein the means for programmatically
2 appending further comprises means for resetting the flag to indicate that the new or different
3 capability for a selected event has not been processed.

1 Claim 15 (original): The system according to Claim 11, wherein a precondition for operation of
2 the means for subsequently programmatically invoking comprises determining whether an
3 appended new or different capability is present on a selected event, and determining that the
4 appended new or different capability has not already been performed.

1 Claim 16 (original): A computer program product for recycling events to take advantage of
2 capabilities of a management system, the computer program product embodied on one or more
3 computer-usable media and comprising:

4 computer readable program code means for receiving one or more events;

5 computer readable program code means for storing the received events in an event
6 repository;

7 computer readable program code means for evaluating each stored event to determine if a
8 new or different capability is available, and programmatically appending the new or different
9 capability to the stored event if so; and

10 computer readable program code means for subsequently programmatically invoking

Serial No. 09/849,848

-10-

Docket RSW920010087US1

11 processing of the appended new or different capabilities;
12 thereby recycling the stored events to take advantage of the capabilities of the
13 management system without requiring change to applications generating the stored events and
14 without requiring new events to be generated.

1 Claim 17 (original): The computer program product according to Claim 16, wherein a flag
2 indicates whether the appended new or different capability for a selected stored event has been
3 processed.

1 Claim 18 (original): The computer program product according to Claim 16, further comprising
2 computer readable program code means for re-storing the evaluated event and the
3 programmatically appended new or different capability in the event repository prior to operation
4 of the computer readable program code means for subsequently programmatically invoking.

1 Claim 19 (original): The computer program product according to Claim 17, wherein the
2 computer readable program code means for programmatically appending further comprises
3 computer readable program code means for resetting the flag to indicate that the new or different
4 capability for a selected event has not been processed.

1 Claim 20 (original): The computer program product according to Claim 16, wherein a
2 precondition for operation of the computer readable program code means for subsequently
3 programmatically invoking comprises determining whether an appended new or different

Serial No. 09/849,848

-11-

Docket RSW920010087US1

4 capability is present on a selected event, and determining that the appended new or different
5 capability has not already been performed.

1 Claim 21 (original): A method of recycling events to take advantage of capabilities of a
2 management system, comprising steps of:
3 receiving one or more events;
4 storing the received events in an event repository;
5 evaluating each stored event to determine if a new or different capability is available; and
6 programmatically invoking processing of the new or different capability when the
7 evaluating step determines that the new or different capability is available;
8 thereby recycling the stored events to take advantage of the capabilities of the
9 management system without requiring change to applications generating the stored events and
10 without requiring new events to be generated.

Amendments to the Drawings

Fig. 3B is amended to replace "INVENTORY.Command" with "INVENTORYCommand" at reference number 330. (That is, the "." is removed.) As amended, this syntax aligns with the specification; see, for example, p. 21, lines 6 and 7.

Fig. 4B is amended to correct a printing error, whereby text within the figure shifted down one line on the page, causing the reference numbers to point to the wrong elements. As amended, the reference numbers and the syntax to which they point now aligns with the discussion in the specification. See, for example, p. 26, lines 10 - 11, where reference numbers 430 and 440 are discussed, and p. 20, lines 12 - 15, where reference number 422 is discussed.

Thus, it can be seen that no new matter has been introduced.